p, 2p and p, pn reactions with rare isotopes

C.A. Bertulani

Department of Physics and Astronomy Texas A&M University-Commerce



PKU-CUSTIPEN Workshop – Reactions and Spectroscopy of Unstable Nuclei August 10-14, 2014, Beijing, China "Quasi-free (p,2p) and (p,pn) reactions with unstable nuclei" T. Aumann, CB, J. Ryckebusch, PRC 88, 064610 (2013)

If (p,pN) was an IDEAL PROBE

- Impulse approximation: single hit + wave distortion (DWIA)
- For E_p ~ 200 MeV or higher, "Glauber" may apply
- Multiple scattering only responsible for distortion + absorption
- No off-shell effects, no correlations, etc.



Differential cross sections



probably good if pp and pn cross sections slowly dependent on E_p

Estimates: p + ¹²C



but one expects:

$$\sigma_{\text{knockout}}(p,2p) \sim \frac{A}{2} \sigma_{pp}^{\text{free}} \sim 250 \,\text{mb}$$

black disk theory \rightarrow $\sigma_{reaction} \sim \pi R^2 \sim 250 \text{ mb}$ + optical theorem \rightarrow $\sigma_{elastic} \sim \pi R^2 \sim 250 \text{ mb}$ experiment (E_p > 200 MeV) \rightarrow $\sigma_{knockout}$ (p,2p) \sim 30 mb

in fact, for nuclear excitation below threshold:

 $\sigma_{inelastic}(p,p') \sim 10-20 mb$

Conclusion: much of the knockout cross section goes to absorption Absorption = whatever leads to other channels than (p,2p) or (p,pn) one has to go beyond PWIA $\rightarrow d\sigma_{pN}/d\Omega_{p}$ not really elastic and/or $\phi_{N}(Q)$ not really a simple Fourier transform of ϕ_{N}

Distorted Wave Born Approximation

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{p}d\Omega_{p}d\Omega_{B}} = K_{F} \sum_{i} \left| t_{i}(p,p',i) \right|^{2}$$

T-matrix

Kinematic factor (phase-space factors)

sum over all p + i interactions



incoming and outgoing waves of p, p' and i are distorted by all p + i interactions

How to get t_i ?

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{A} V_{pi}$$

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V + H_A$$
intrinsic
Sum of binary interactions

 $T = V + V \left[E - (H - V) + i\varepsilon \right]^{-1} T$

"Ab initio" p-A Scattering Theory

 $T = \sum_{i} \tau_{pi}(E) \eta_{i}(E)$

Factorization

$$\tau_{pi} = V_{pi} + V_{pi} \left[E - (H - V) + i\varepsilon \right]^{-1} \tau_{pi}$$

τ_{pi} is an (A+1)-body operator

$$\eta_{i}(E) = 1 + \left[E - (H - V) + i\varepsilon\right]^{-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \tau_{pj}(E) \eta_{j}(E)$$

Too complicated! Need to simplify $T = \sum_{i} \tau_{pi}(E) + \sum_{i, j \neq i} \tau_{pi}(E) [E - (H - V) + i\epsilon]^{-1} \tau_{pj}(E) + \cdots$

Effective theory expansion for T

Effective Theory for p-A Scattering

- 1) Project onto ground state $P_0 = |\Psi_0\rangle\langle\Psi_0|$
- 2) Introduce p-A Optical Potential

 $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} \left\{ \left| \Psi_0 \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_0 \right\| \left[\mathbf{E} + \hbar^2 \nabla^2 / 2m - \mathbf{K}_A + i\epsilon \right] \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{T}$

 $K_A = p - A CM$ kinetic energy

3) τ_{pi} (A+1)-body $\rightarrow \dagger_{pi}$ free NN t-matrix

4) Effective theory expansion for p - A scattering

$$U = \sum_{i}^{A} t_{pi}(E) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{A} t_{pi}(E) \frac{1 - |\Psi_0\rangle \langle \Psi_0|}{E + \hbar^2 \nabla^2 / 2m - K_A + i\epsilon} t_{pj}(E) + \cdots$$
$$- U^{(LO)} + U^{(NLO)} + \cdots$$

$U = U^{(LO)} + U^{(NLO)} + \cdots$

U^(LO) = sum of binary (free) interactions

U^(NLO) = sum of sequential binary interactions with account of (virtual) intermediate states

U^(NNLO) =

U^(....LO) =

Optical Potential

Nobody does this, really.



U(r) = f(a, b, ..., r)+ ig(a', b', ..., r)

Woods-Saxon, etc.



$\chi = distorted waves$

 ϕ = bound state w.f., includes spectroscopic amplitudes

First order, one encounter (impulse) for p - N, U ~ U^(LO) = $t_{pN}(E_p)$ $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_p d\Omega_{p'} d\Omega_N} = (phase space) \left| \left\langle \chi_p^- \chi_N^- | t_{pN}(E_p) | \chi_{p'}^+ \varphi_N \right\rangle \right|^2$

Off-shell effects neglected

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{pN'}} = (\text{phase space}) \left| t_{pN} (E_p) \right|^2 \sum_{\Lambda} \left| \mathcal{T}^{(p,pN)} \right|^2 \qquad \text{Quasi-free}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_p d\Omega_{p'} d\Omega_N} = K_F \frac{d\sigma_{pN}}{d\Omega} \sum_{\Lambda} \left| \mathcal{T}^{(p,pN)} \right|^2$$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{p}d\Omega_{p},d\Omega_{N}} = K_{F} \frac{d\sigma_{pN}}{d\Omega} \sum_{A} |\mathcal{T}^{(p,pN)}|^{2} \xrightarrow{X_{p}} \xrightarrow{X_{p'}} X_{N}$$

$$\chi = \text{distorted waves}$$

$$\phi = \text{bound state w.f., includes spectroscopic amplitudes} \xrightarrow{X_{N+B}} DWIA$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{(p,pN)} = \int d^{3}r \chi_{p}^{(-)*} \left(\frac{A-1}{A}r\right) \chi_{p'}^{(-)*}(r) \chi_{N}^{(+)*}(r) \phi(r)$$
if all $\chi = \text{plane waves}$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{p}d\Omega_{p}d\Omega_{B}} \sim \frac{d\sigma_{pN}}{d\Omega_{p}} |\phi_{N}(Q)|^{2}$$

$$PWIA$$

$$Q = k_{p_{1}} + k_{p_{2}} + \frac{A-1}{A}k_{p}$$

DWIA × PWIA

To calculate χ we need U_{pA} , $U_{p'A}$ and U_{NA}



recent energy with inverse kinematics with radioactive beams

Lessons from the past: DWIA works well



13

Lessons from the past: DWIA works well

²⁰⁸ Pb(p,2p)²⁰⁷ TI $E_p = 200 \text{ MeV} (\theta_1, \theta_2) = (42^\circ, -42^\circ)$



Distorted Waves at Low Energies

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\nabla^2 + U\right]\Psi = E\Psi$$

 $\Psi = \sum_{lm} \frac{u_l(r)}{r} Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \qquad (\text{partial waves})$

Outgoing wave

U

k'

$$u_1(r) \xrightarrow[r \to \infty]{} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ H_1^{(-)}(kr) - S_1 H_1^{(+)}(kr) \right\}$$

Incoming wave

"Survival" amplitude

k

 $S_1 = e^{2i\delta_1}$ ($\delta_1 = Phase shift$)

 $\left|\mathbf{S}_{1}\right|^{2}$

= "Survival" probability ≤1 **Distorted Waves at High Energies** $\Delta E \ll E$, $\theta \ll 1$ radian, $|\Delta \psi/\psi|_{\Lambda r=\lambda} \ll 1$ U(**r**) b Ζ

Assume $\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = S(\mathbf{b}, z) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ Insert in S.E. and neglect terms $\partial_b^2 \Psi$ compared to $\partial_b \Psi$ $\partial_z^2 \Psi$ compared to $\partial_z \Psi$

$$S(\mathbf{b},z) = \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar v}\int_{-\infty}^{z} U(\mathbf{r}')dz'\right\}$$

 $\mathbf{r'} = (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{z'})$

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\mathbf{r}) &= S(\mathbf{b}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} & S(\mathbf{b}) = e^{i\chi(\mathbf{b})} = \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar v}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U(\mathbf{r}')dz'\right\}\\ z &\to \infty \quad \text{(after the collision)} \end{split}$$



Optical Potential at High Energies



 $U(E) = \langle \mathbf{k'}, \mathbf{n} | \mathbf{U} | \mathbf{k}, \rangle$ $= (2\pi)^{3} \delta(\mathbf{k'} + \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}) U(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}, E)$

target nucleus density matrix

$$\mathbf{U}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k},\mathbf{E}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{p}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \rho(\mathbf{p}_{1}+\mathbf{Q};\mathbf{p}_{1}) t(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{1}',\mathbf{E}')$$

One-body density:

$$\rho(\mathbf{Q}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{p}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \rho(\mathbf{p}_{1} + \mathbf{Q}; \mathbf{p}_{1})$$

Neglecting off-shell effects (Q ~ 0)



(t-p approximation)

t-p Approximation

$$U^{(LO)}(E;r) = \int t_{NN}^{\text{forward}}(E;\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \rho_{T}(\mathbf{Q}) d^{3}Q$$

1

$$t_{\rm NN}^{\rm forward}(\rm E; Q) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4\pi^2\mu} f_{\rm NN}(\rm Q \sim 0)$$

$$f_{NN}(Q \sim 0) = \frac{k_{NN}}{4\pi} \sigma_{NN} \left(i + \alpha_{NN}\right) e^{-\xi_{NN}Q^2}$$

needs medium correction



t-p Approximation - Example



NN cross sections

200

100

50

20

10

5

0.02

0.05

0.1

Itl

0.2

U V

(mp/(Jev/

do/dt



1

Medium corrections of σ_{NN} Lippmann-Schwinger + Pauli **Bethe-Goldstone** $\left\langle \mathbf{k} | G | \mathbf{k}_{0} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{v}_{NN} | \mathbf{k}_{0} \right\rangle - \int \frac{d^{3}k'}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\left\langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{v}_{NN} | \mathbf{k'} \right\rangle Q(\mathbf{k'}) \left\langle \mathbf{k'} | G | \mathbf{k}_{0} \right\rangle}{E(\mathbf{k'}) - E_{0} - i\epsilon}$ $E(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{k}) = e(\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{k}) + e(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{k})$ Q(P,k) = 1, if $k_{1,2} > k_F$ $k_{1,2} = P \pm k$ e = single-particle energies = 0, otherwise $E_0 = E$ on-shell (Brueckner theory) - e depends on v Solve self-consistently for v_{NN} (or σ_{NN}) - v depends on G $v(p) = \langle p|v|p \rangle = \text{Re } \sum \langle pq|G|pq - qp \rangle$ - G depends on v q≤k_F



Complicated and often needs simplifications

Simplified Medium Corrections of σ_{NN}



 $P(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - 7x/5, & x \le 1/2 \\ 1 - 7x/5 + (2x/5)(2 - 1/x)^{5/2}, & x \ge 1/2 \end{cases}$

Summary: waves + potentials for (p,pN)



$$\chi_{p}^{(in)}(\mathbf{r}) = S_{p}(b_{p},z) \exp\left[i\frac{A-1}{A}\mathbf{k}_{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}\right]$$
$$S_{p}^{in}(b_{p},z) = -\frac{i}{\hbar v}\int_{-\infty}^{z}U(r')dz'$$
$$\chi_{p'}^{(out)}(\mathbf{r}) = S_{p'}(b_{p'},z) \exp\left[i\mathbf{k}_{p'}\cdot\mathbf{r}\right]$$
$$S_{p'}^{(out)}(b_{p'},z) = -\frac{i}{\hbar v}\int_{z}^{\infty}U(r')dz'$$
and similarly for X_M

Constructed from M3Y folding model

$$\rho_{\rm p}(q) = \frac{1}{1 + q^2/a^2}, \qquad a^2 = 0.71 \,{\rm fm}^{-2}$$

Momentum distributions of recoiled residual nuclei B

Instead of
$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_{p}d\Omega_{p'}d\Omega_{N}} = K_{F} \frac{d\sigma_{pN}}{d\Omega} \sum_{\Lambda} |\mathcal{T}^{(p,pN)}|^{2}$$
 one can get a much simpler

expression for $d\sigma/d^{3}Q$ by using the eikonal formalism described above:

$$\mathcal{T}^{(p,pN)} = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, \chi_p^{(-)*} \left(\frac{A-1}{A} \mathbf{r} \right) \chi_{p'}^{(-)*}(\mathbf{r}) \, \chi_N^{(+)*}(\mathbf{r}) \, \varphi(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{k}_p' + \mathbf{k}_N - \frac{A-1}{A} \mathbf{k}_p$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^{3}Q} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{2j+1} \sum_{m} \left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{pN}}{d\Omega} \right\rangle_{Q} \left| \int d^{3}r \, e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \left\langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{b},\theta) \right\rangle_{Q} \varphi_{jlm}(\mathbf{r}) \right|^{2}$$

$$S(b,\theta) = S_{pA}(E_{p};b)S_{p'B}(E_{p'};\theta_{p'};b)S_{NB}(E_{N};\theta_{N};b)$$

Averages need to be done, as there are several energies and scattering angle combinations leading to the same Q

$$\left\langle \frac{d\sigma_{pN}}{d\Omega} \right\rangle_{Q} = \frac{\int_{Q=const} d^{3}p' d^{3}p_{N} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{pN}}}{\int_{Q=const} d^{3}p' d^{3}p_{N}}$$

and similarly for $\langle S(b,\theta) \rangle_Q$

Applications: ¹²C(p,pN)

Consider removal from p-states in ¹²C with separation energy of 15.9 (18.7) MeV for proton (neutron).



Applications: ¹²C(p,pN)



Knockout Probabilities

Comparison with Absortpion in (e,e'p)

Ryckebusch

A(e,e'p) 100 ≤ E_p ≤ 4 GeV



Comparison with heavy ion knockout reactions



Dependence on Angular Momentum and Energy

Energy of state s' shifted to the same as p-state

¹²C(p, 2p)



Dependence on Separation Energies

²⁰O at 500 MeV/nucleon



DWIA × PWIA



DWIA × PWIA



Dependence on Separation Energy and Ang. Mom.



Dependence on # of nucleons



Conclusions

• p,2p and p,pn reactions very useful spectroscopic tools for studies of nuclei far from the stability.

• Very much used in the 60's and 70's – most people working with it are either dead or retired.

• High beam energies might simplify theory (Glauber, kinematics, etc.)

• First experiments being carried out in Europe and Asia (Japan, China)

• New theories and codes need development for consistent experimental analysis.